Case 1:23-cr-00099-JLT-SKO Document 24 Filed 08/30/23 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000		
2			
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7	United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ΓATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	LASTERN DIST.	MCT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-00099-JLT-SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	v.	ORDER	
14	JUAN CARLOS URIAS TORRES, DATE: September 20, 2023		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 1:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
16			
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
18	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
19	1. By previous order, this matter was	s set for status on September 20, 2023.	
20	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until		
21	December 6, 2023, at 1:00 P.M. and to exclude time between September 20, 2023, and December 6,		
22	2023, inclusive.		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:	
24	a) The discovery associated v	with this case includes investigative reports and	
25	photographs. All this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made		
26	available for inspection and copying.		
27	b) Mr. Urias Torres is charged in a "complex" "related case" <i>United States v. Leiva-</i>		
28	Leiva et al, (1:22-cr-232) and wishes for the above-captioned case to trail that case, which is also		

set for a status conference on December 6, 2023.

- c) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his/her client, conduct investigation, review the voluminous discovery, and prepare for a possible trial.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, g) et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 20, 2023 to December 6, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) because the continuance results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: August 29, 2023

25

26

27

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ Justin J. Gilio JUSTIN J. GILIO Assistant United States Attorney

Case 1:23-cr-00099-JLT-SKO Document 24 Filed 08/30/23 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: August 29, 2023	/s/ Adilene Flores Estrada Adilene Flores Estrada
2		Counsel for Defendant
3		Juan Carlos Urias Torres
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		ORDER
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11		$S(\cdot) V \cap V = V$
12	DATED: 8/30/2023	Sheila K. Oberto THE HONORABLE SHEILA K. OBERTO
13		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		